November 19, 2026

U.S. Army Cyber Command Inspector General Office
Attn: ARCYBER Inspector General
Re: Major Anna C. Catudal (Hughes?)
9800 Savage Road, Suite 6272
Fort George G. Meade, MD 20755

ARCYBER Inspector General:

I am Haley A. Catudal's biological father. Her mother is Major Anna C. Catudal, also known as Anna Catudal Hughes, whom I understand to be assigned to U.S. Army Cyber Command (ARCYBER), Data Management and Analytics Directorate. I ask your office to confirm her current rank and assignment and route this complaint accordingly.

I assert the following:

A decree of divorce was entered in Franklin County Common Pleas Court, Domestic Relations Division, Case No. 10DR-12-4934, establishing my parental rights and parenting time with our daughter. The decree and supporting records are available through my evidence website, StolenDaughter.com.

My separation from my daughter began in 2010. The decree records an October 2010 visit and mentions bedtime telephone calls without dating those calls. My account is that the approximately one-month summer 2012 visit was my only parenting time after the 2011 decree, that I received no post-decree telephone calls, and that I have neither seen nor spoken to Haley since that summer. See the decree, pages 5-7, and the contact chronology. I request an accounting of every right I was denied from the decree through her eighteenth birthday, March 21, 2024.

This denial has prevented me from exercising the parenting time ordered in the divorce decree. I ask the investigation to address:

- The terms of the divorce decree and subsequent orders regarding parenting time, visitation, telephone contact and access to information.
- Ohio Revised Code Chapter 3109 and the applicable contempt-enforcement provisions.
- The federal Parental Kidnapping Prevention Act and the applicable state Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction and Enforcement Act provisions concerning interstate recognition and enforcement.
- Army Regulation 608-99, court-ordered custody and visitation, and the command's responsibilities. Determine any violations and whether the conduct meets the requirements of UCMJ Article 92.
- UCMJ Article 133, Conduct unbecoming an officer, as applicable to her status and conduct at the time.
- The applicable Army family-care-plan requirements, including arrangements for Haley's care, parental contact and the effect of my court-ordered rights on those arrangements.

I request that the Inspector General investigate these assertions, determine whether Anna failed to comply with the court orders and applicable Army regulations, and take appropriate action.

I also contend that the Army is unaware of Major Catudal's true character or lack thereof. I request investigation of the following allegations and the records now available:

Specific allegations and supporting records

1. I allege that Anna perjured herself in divorce court by lying under oath about the 2006 child-abuse incident to undermine my parenting rights. I cite her exact words from the hearing transcript and ask the Inspector General to compare them with the removal notice, the substantiated neglect findings, and the criminal case record. See Franklin County Municipal Court case # 2006 CR B 019433. Compare the August 5, 2006 removal and sworn child-endangering complaint, the August 17 substantiated-neglect findings, the amended disorderly-conduct disposition, parenting-program certificate and divorce testimony. Distinguish the neglect findings from the criminal disposition. Child Abuse and Perjury records.

2. I am submitting Anna's welfare forms, tax records, and pay stubs as proof that she falsified income information to obtain benefits she was not entitled to. My allegation concerns assistance involving Molina Healthcare of Ohio, Inc. and the Ohio Department of Job & Family Services. Review the income representations, assistance records, tax return, worksheet and original submissions. Welfare Fraud records.

3. I contend that Anna obtained an order of protection against me in Arizona by lying under oath. When I learned of the order, I challenged it on that basis. The court granted my motion to quash and dismissed the order. I ask the Inspector General to compare Anna's sworn statements with the hearing transcript and court record. Review the protection-order application, testimony, transcript, motion to quash and subsequent orders in case DO2011-00126. The January 8, 2013 correcting order confirms that the motion to quash was granted December 4, 2012 and the protection order dismissed. That dismissal is not itself a finding of perjury. Complete Arizona packet.

4. Perjury in Ohio and the disputed babysitter information. Compare the information supplied through counsel, the YMax/MagicJack affidavit and the Hannon Real Estate letter with the representations made to the court. In the September 6, 2012 affidavit, Peter Russo, chief financial officer and custodian of records for YMax Communications Corp. and Magic Jack, LP, identifies the supplied number as registered to Anna. Registration alone does not establish who controlled or used it. Determine that separately and whether I received truthful caregiver information. Babysitter Perjury records.

5. The October 2008 incident and statements recanting allegations against me. My account is that Anna pushed me down stairs in Westerville and made a false report to police. I lost Chase Hall & Associates afterward and contend that this incident caused that loss; this is my account of causation, not a court finding. Compare the signed statements, including the passage concerning my injuries, with the police

reports and court records in case # 2008 CR B 026797. Consider the company records documenting Chase Hall & Associates and my later effort to rebuild through The GMP Group. Recanted statements. This incident occurred before Anna entered military service. I ask the Inspector General to review the police reports, court records, and signed recantations as evidence of her character and judgment, and to consider what they show in light of the standards of honesty and integrity expected of an Army officer. I also ask your office to review what her father and others who spoke up for her knew and said, without assuming that any of them knowingly made false statements.

6. Denial of my court-ordered parenting rights. The divorce decree granted me parenting time, telephone contact, and access to information about my daughter. I did not receive what the orders provided. I have not seen or spoken to my daughter since the summer of 2012. I ask the Inspector General to determine what Army regulations required of Anna and her command while those orders were in effect, and whether they met those obligations. Divorce decree; parenting rights and obligations; supporting packet.

7. Review the dated record of September 27, 2009 and the historical rules identified in the supporting material to determine whether any accession representations or requirements were violated under the rules in force at that time. Private details remain omitted from this review draft. Dated document and historical rules. I ask the Inspector General to review Anna's Army entry and basic training records. Did she meet the standards required to complete basic training? Were any requirements waived or exceptions granted, and who authorized them? I also ask whether her father or anyone acting on her behalf intervened in any decision about her training or graduation.

8. My concern that Anna and/or others used Army resources to interfere with the public visibility of my Instagram material. I alerted the family to my Instagram on August 25, 2026. This remains an unverified concern, not evidence that Army personnel or resources were involved. I request examination of the relevant technical records to determine whether there was interference and, if so, who was responsible. I ask the Inspector General to review relevant records from Anna's Army computer and accounts to determine whether she used Army resources to interfere with my efforts to document and publicly share what I experienced, including through Instagram, my website, or other channels.

9. The divorce decree gave me the right to speak with my daughter by telephone. That right remained in effect. I have not seen or spoken to my daughter since the summer of 2012. I ask the Inspector General to compare what the decree provided with what I actually received. Divorce decree and rights table.

10. Anna knew about my past before we had Haley. As I understood the judge's remarks, Anna could not use that same history to justify denying me parenting time after choosing to have a child with me. I ask the Inspector General to listen to the divorce hearing recording for the judge's exact words and review pages six and seven of the decree for the court's treatment of the canceled 2011 visit. I know it's unusual to record your own divorce hearing. After what I'd been through, I felt I needed to preserve exactly what was said.

In addition, I contend that Anna manipulated me into allowing our daughter to stay at her father's home while she attended basic training. Anna and I made a verbal visitation contract about my contact with Haley while Anna attended basic training. I recorded that conversation and ask the Inspector General to listen to it in full. After Haley left my home to stay with Anna's father, my regular contact with her was exterminated by a decision I had no part in making. The decree records one visit in October 2010. Around that time, I also heard Haley's voice in the background while I was on the phone with Anna. Our month together in the summer of 2012 was the only parenting time I had after the decree. Please listen to the full recording of our verbal visitation contract and compare what we agreed to with the contact I actually received. The website now contains the verbal visitation contract and other recordings, including conversations about denied contact, destruction of my belongings and the divorce hearing. In particular, review the clip titled "Destroying My Things," labeled June 16, 2009, and the verbal visitation contract recording labeled September 29, 2009, listed on the recordings evidence page. Those are the website's titles and date labels; they are not independently authenticated recording dates. I request review of the complete recordings, not isolated excerpts.

The household-goods record dated September 30, 2010 is also now available. I gave Anna and my daughter everything I owned except my desk, computer and tools to establish their home. I ask that this record be considered with the timeline of the promises made to me and the loss of contact that followed.

These assertions are offered in support of my request that the Inspector General investigate the full circumstances surrounding Anna's conduct, her compliance with applicable regulations and the denial of my parenting rights. Follow each point to its supporting record and refer matters outside your jurisdiction to the appropriate office.

I remain concerned about full and impartial consideration because of Anna's family connections within the Army. Her father is retired Colonel Joseph Thomas "Tom" Catudal. Her brother, Lieutenant Colonel Joseph W. Catudal, has documented roles at West Point and the Army Cyber Institute. The family pages preserve my account of their involvement, Joseph's refusal to answer or return my calls, and dated photographs showing their knowledge of my relationship with Haley. I request review of their relevant knowledge and actions, free of undue influence or preferential treatment. Anna's career, education and business records provide additional chronology and identifying information.

I would like to know if something is being done about this. Please provide written acknowledgment of receipt, identify the office responsible, and provide a reference or case number if one is assigned.

You have my permission to copy my daughter, Haley, on any correspondence you send me about this matter, including responses and updates on any investigative work, to the extent your rules permit.

Regards,

/s/ C. Bryan Catudal

C. Bryan Catudal
[redacted]
bryan@HaleysDad.com

INSPECTOR GENERAL ACTION REQUEST

For use of this form, see AR 20-1; the proponent agency is the Office of The Inspector General.

DATA REQUIRED BY THE PRIVACY ACT OF 1974

AUTHORITY: 10 U.S.C. 7013, Secretary of the Army; 10 U.S.C. 7020, Inspector General; Inspector General Act of 1978, Public Law 95-452, 92 Stat. 1101 (1978), as amended; DoDD 1030.1, Victim and Witness Assistance; AR 20-1, Inspector General Activities and Procedures.

PRINCIPAL PURPOSE: To secure information sufficient to inquire into the matters presented, to take action to correct deficiencies, and to respond to requesters.

ROUTINE USES: Information is used for official purposes within the Department of Defense; to answer complaints or respond to requests for assistance, advice, or information; by Members of Congress and other Government agencies when determined by the Inspector General to be in the best interest of the Army; and, in certain cases, in trials by court-martial and other military matters as authorized by the Uniform Code of Military Justice.

DISCLOSURE: Voluntary. However, failure to provide complete information may hinder proper identification of the requester, accomplishment of the requested action(s), and response to the requester.

SORN: A0020-1 SAIG, Inspector General Records (January 11, 2002, 67 FR 1447)

1. LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE INITIAL	2. GRADE / RANK	3. DOD ID	4. COMPONENT / DUTY STATUS
Catudal, Chance "Bryan"	Civilian		Civilian

5. PREFERRED CONTACT TELEPHONE *(Duty, home, and / or cell)*	6. E-MAIL ADDRESS(ES)
[redacted]	[redacted]

7. UNIT AND COMPLETE MILITARY ADDRESS *(Point of Contact/Telephone if applicable)*	8. PREFERRED MAILING ADDRESS *(If different from military address, including ZIP Code)*
	[redacted]

9. SPECIFIC ACTION REQUESTED *(What do you want the IG to do for you?)*

I request an impartial investigation into Major Anna C. Catudal's conduct described in my attached letter, including the denial of my court-ordered parenting rights and whether she or her command failed to comply with applicable Army regulations. Please take appropriate action on any substantiated findings and refer matters outside your authority to the appropriate office.

10. HAVE YOU CONTACTED YOUR CHAIN OF COMMAND OR ANY AGENCY CONCERNING THIS REQUEST? *(Explain for both yes and no responses.)*

☑ Yes I previously contacted Major Catudal's commanders about this matter. I have documentation of those contacts and can provide it on request. I've yet to post it on my website.

☐ No

11. INFORMATION PERTAINING TO THIS REQUEST *(Be specific and detailed. List any supporting documentation or enclosures if applicable.)*

I'm submitting this personally and on behalf of HaleysDad.com. My attached signed letter describes what happened, what I'm asking you to investigate, and where to find the supporting records.

12. I do ☑ I do not ☐ consent to release my personal information outside of IG channels to the chain of command or other officials (but within DoD channels) in order to resolve the matters listed above. I understand that if I do not consent to the release of my personal information, my request for assistance may go unresolved.

13. I do ☑ I do not ☐ consent to release the supporting documents I provided to the IG (to exclude this DA Form) outside of IG channels to the chain of command or other officials (but within DoD channels) in order to resolve the matters listed above. I understand that if I do not consent to the release of my documents, my request for assistance may go unresolved.

This information is submitted for the basic purpose of requesting assistance, correcting injustices affecting the individual, or eliminating conditions considered detrimental to the efficiency or reputation of the Army. Those who knowingly and intentionally provide false statements on this form are subject to potential punitive and administrative action (UCMJ Art 107, 18 U.S.C 1001).

14. SIGNATURE	15. DATE *(YYYYMMDD)*	16. IG / INTAKE REMARKS
/s/ C. Bryan Catudal	20261119	

Simply Hauling <info@getsimplyhauling.com>

Inspector General Action Request

Simply Hauling <info@getsimplyhauling.com> Thu, Nov 19, 2026 at 7:21 PM
To: arcyber-igcomplaint@army.mil

[redacted]

ARCYBER Inspector General:

Please review my attached letter and Inspector General Action Request (DA Form 1559). If another Inspector General office is responsible, please refer my request and let me know where it was sent. Please confirm receipt in writing and provide a reference number if one is assigned. Please direct your reply to [redacted]

I have not been provided Major Catudal's current Army email address. I have copied the last Army address I had for her. The fact that I still have only that address illustrates how out of contact I have been with her throughout this process.

If your office requires handwritten signatures, please let me know promptly so I can sign by hand and resubmit.

Thank you,
C. Bryan Catudal

2 attachments

DA1559-PRIVATE-FINAL-2026-11-19.pdf
333K

Army-Cyber-Command-IG-Letter-PRIVATE-FINAL-Copy-Haley-2026-11-19.pdf
85K

bryan@haleysdad.com

Acknowledgement

Mier, Gualberto F (Berto) CIV USARMY ARCYBER (USA) Fri, Nov 20, 2026 at 07:54
<gualberto.f.mier.civ@army.mil>

CUI

Good morning Mr. Catudal,

This is to acknowledge receipt of your Inspector General action request. We will contact you should we require additional information pertaining to your request.

Sincerely,

Gualberto F. Mier
Inspector General

United States Army Cyber Command
Brainard Ave, Bldg 25526 (Campbell Hall, 1st Flr)
Fort Gordon, GA 30905
☎: (706) 791-9088
NIPR: gualberto.f.mier.civ@army.mil
SIPR: gualberto.f.mier.civ@mail.smil.mil

Controlled by: The Inspector General of the Army (SAIG-ZA)
Controlled by: Army Cyber Command Inspector General (ARCC-IG)
CUI Category: PRIIG, PRVCY
Distribution/Dissemination Controls: FEDCON
POC: Mr. Gualberto Mier, gualberto.f.mier.civ@army.mil, (706) 791-9088

INSPECTOR GENERAL CONTROLLED UNCLASSIFIED INFORMATION
The information contained in this e-mail and any accompanying attachments may contain Inspector General Controlled Unclassified Information, which is protected from mandatory disclosure under 5 USC §552. Matters within IG records are often predecisional in nature and do not represent final approved DA policy. Dissemination is prohibited except as authorized under Army Regulation 20-1. Do not release outside of DA channels without prior authorization from The Inspector General. If you are not the intended recipient of this information, any disclosure, copying, distribution, or the taking of any action in reliance on this information is prohibited. If you received this e-mail in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by calling 706-791-9088.

WHISTLE BLOWER PROTECTION ACT:
IAW AR 600-20, Paragraph 5-12. No person will restrict a member of the Armed Services from making a protected communication with the Inspector General (IG), all communications with an IG are protected. No person may, in reprisal: take or threaten to take adverse action, withhold or threaten to withhold favorable action.

CUI